

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,452	12/15/2003	Conrad S. Mikulec	CM04	1451
27797 75	03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
RICHARD D. FUERLE			BARNEY, SETH E	
1711 W. RIVER RD. GRAND ISLAND, NY 14072			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3752	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	_

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~ 1
XV)
0'

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/707,452	MIKULEC, CONRAD S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Seth Barney	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De	ecember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 January 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachmont/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show how the trigger rotates in the aperture by means of the ram and how the ram interacts with the cable trigger as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several

views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "plunger that pierces the seal" and "microswitch or a cable that is activated by said ram is attached at each end of said chamber" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Application/Control Number: 10/707,452 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. While the specification states that the trigger is rotated by force of the ram, there is insufficient detail in both the specification and the figures showing precisely how it rotates. Furthermore, the specification states that the ram interacts with the cable trigger, but there is insufficient detail in both the specification and the figures on how this occurs.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Extruding metal to form the single piece and removing the portions thereof does not make the structure as claimed in claim 1. Furthermore, it is unclear as to what "removing portions thereof" is referring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,979,572 to Mikulec.

Regarding claim 1 and 17, Mikulec discloses a fire extinguishing actuator having:
-an elongate body made of single piece. See Figure 3.

- -a longitudinal chamber that extends through the body, for holding a ram (101) and a spring (108) for propelling the ram. See Figure 3.
- -a first transverse aperture that joins the chamber for holding a trigger (37) that releases the spring. See Figure 3.
- -a second transverse aperture that joins the chamber at about a right angle for holding a member that moves in response to movement of the ram, where movement of

the member activates the release of the composition from the cylinder. See Figure 3 and column 3 lines 41 to 56.

Regarding claim 2, a microswitch (124) is attached to one end of the chamber. See Figure 3.

Regarding claim 3, a cable (140) that slides in a sheath (126) and is activated by the ram is attached at one end of the chamber. See Figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 5, a fusible link (36) is attached between the trigger and on end of the body. See Figure 1.

Regarding claim 6, the member is a plunger (41) that pierces a seal on the cylinder. See Figure 3.

Regarding claim 8, both apertures are circular in cross section. See Figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 9, the actuator includes a ram (101), a compressed spring (108) within the longitudinal chamber, a trigger (37) within the first aperture, and a member (41) within a second aperture.

Regarding claims 14 and 18, the system is a fire extinguisher.

Regarding claims 15 and 19, the fire extinguisher is mounted in a hood. See Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 10-13, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,979,572 to Mikulec.

Mikulec discloses all of the limitations set forth in the claims except for the main body is an extruded piece of metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to extrude the elongated body part in order to easily and cheaply produce a long profile piece.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 5,297,636 to North discloses a fire extinguishing system having fusible link. U.S. Patent No. 4,813,487 to Mikulec et al. discloses a fire extinguishing installation in a stop top having a fusible link, a ram, and a piercing member. U.S. Patent No. 5,063,998 to Quinn discloses a fire extinguishing apparatus having a fusible link, a trigger, a ram, and a spring.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

sb

David A. Schemel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700